			P\(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/663,913 Examiner	KOMATSU, HITOSH Art Unit	11
	Daniel A. Hess	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS ( herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) of NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIC of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport of the suppropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to 3/14/2005 after final ar	mendment.	•	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>22-29</u> .			
3. 🖾 The drawings filed on <u>17 September 2003</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.		
4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have to complete the priority documents have	been received. been received in Application No uments have been received in this in of this communication to file a reply a ENT of this application.  ted. Note the attached EXAMINER's reason(s) why the oath or declarate be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO- Amendment / Comment or in the O Ad(c)) should be written on the drawing header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) it of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL in	national stage applicate complying with the reconstruction of the front (not the d).	quirements OTICE OF
Attachment(s)  1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. Notice of Informal P 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. Examiner's Amenda 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	(PTO-413), e nent/Comment	·

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION / REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

This action is in response to applicant's after-final amendment received 3/14/2005, which has

been placed in the electronic file of record. In that after-final amendment, the applicant

cancelled all subject matter that stood rejected, leaving only claims 22 to 29, which had been

allowed. As a result, the instant application is hereby allowed.

Allowable Subject Matter

· Claims 22-29 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: As stated above, all

claims that had been rejected have now been cancelled leaving only allowed claims. A final

search did not turn up any art which teaches or suggests the claimed invention.

The nearest known prior art of record, Miyamoto (US 6,070,804) teaches all but the final

limitation.

See entire document, but especially figure 3, column 6, line 40 to column 7, line 25. A

voltage detecting system detects a voltage level due the incoming signal, which supplies power

to the card. A writing system is present. The system determines both prior to (at step s2) and

after (at step s8) writing, whether the power level – as judged by the detected voltage -- is

sufficient. If the answer is that it is not sufficient, then the system will be informed of such at s5

or s12 and a new attempt will be made to perform the write operation.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,913

Art Unit: 2876

The limitation that is lacking, is the final limitation of each independent claim:

"a setting section which sets the predetermined period of time in which the control section waits, in accordance with data prestored in the memory when the IC card starts by receiving the power wave for operations sent from the sending section of the external device."

This limitation is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art of record. The prior art fails to suggest a reader that sets a delay between attempts to write to an IC card after a failure that has resulted from insufficient power available to the IC card at either the beginning or end of the previous write attempt.

This limitation finds ample support in the specification, on page 24, lines 1 to 11, on page 22 of the specification, and in figures 4 and 5; especially step s26 shown in figure 4, which should be compared with the specification at the top of page 24.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel A. Hess whose telephone number is (571) 272-2392. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM M-F.

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DH

DANIEL STCYR
PRIMARY EXAMMER